

Law Offices of

CHAPMAN AND CUTLER LLP
Intellectual Property Department

111 West Monroe Street, Chicago, Illinois 60603

Telephone 1•312•845•3000 FAX 1•312• 701•2361
Direct Dial 1•312•845•3919 Direct Fax: 1•312•803•5299RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 06 2006

FACSIMILE COVER PAGE

This transmission consists of 10 page(s) including cover page.

Please call (312) 845-3734 if you have any problems with this transmission.

Date: Tuesday, June 6, 2006To: Examiner: George C. MANUEL Group Art Unit: 3762Firm/Company: United States Patent and Trademark OfficeFax Number: 571-273-8300From: Robert J. Schneider, Reg. No. 27,383 Matter Number: 1714955Serial No. U.S. Pat. App. Ser. No. 10/644,543 Extension: 3919

Comments:

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION ATTACHED.

Sincerely,

Robert J. Schneider

NOTICE OF CONFIDENTIALITY: The information contained in this facsimile transmission is confidential information which may contain information that is legally privileged and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. The information is intended solely for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this facsimile transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this facsimile transmission in error, please notify us immediately by telephone to arrange for the return of the original transmission to us.

Receipt Confirmed By: _____

U.S. Pat. App. Ser. No. 10/644,543
Attorney Docket No. 1714955

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:)	Examiner:	Manuel, George C.
Yasufumi Fukuma et al.)	Group Art Unit:	3762
Serial No.: 10/644,543)	Confirmation No.:	6548
App. Filing Date: August 20, 2003)		
For: APPARATUS FOR SIMULATING)		
PROCESSING OF EYEGLOSS LENSES)		

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
JUN 06 2006

FILED VIA FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION to 571-273-8300

Mail Stop AMENDMENT
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

The following is filed in timely response to the Office Action mailed on February 7, 2006, wherein the Examiner:

1. Rejected Claims 1 and 2 on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as unpatentable over Claim 7 of Fukuma et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,634,754.
2. Rejected Claims 1-4 under Section 103(a) as unpatentable over Mizuno et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,716,256.
3. Found Claims 5-10 allowable if rewritten in independent form including all limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, but objected to them as being dependent on a rejected base claim.

The following amendments and remarks are submitted in response. Amendments to the Claims begin on page 2 of this paper. Remarks begin on page 7 of this paper.

2060410.01.05
1714955